| AGENCY USE ONLY | |
|---|---|
| Agency Reference #: | Date Received: |
| Circulated by: | (local govt. or agency) |

# JOINT AQUATIC RESOURCES PERMIT APPLICATION FORM (JARPA)

(for use in Washington State)

**PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT IN BLACK INK.**

☐ Application for a Fish Habitat Enhancement Project per requirements of RCW 77.55.290. You must submit a cop completed JARPA application form and the (Fish Habitat Enhancement JARPA Addition) to your local Government Planning Department and Washington Department of Fish & Wildlife Area Habitat Biologist on the same day.

**NOTE: LOCAL GOVERNMENTS – You must submit any comments on these projects to WDFW within 15 working days.**

Based on the instructions provided, I am sending copies of this application to the following: *(check all that apply)*

- [X] Local Government for shoreline: ☐ Substantial Development ☐ Conditional Use ☐ Variance ☐ Exemption ☐ Revision ☐ Floodplain Management [X] Critical Areas Ordinance
- ☐ Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife for HPA (Submit 3 copies to WDFW Region)
- [X] Washington Department of Ecology for 401 Water Quality Certification (to Regional Office-Federal Permit Unit)
- ☐ Washington Department of Natural Resources for Aquatic Resources Use Authorization Notification
- [X] Corps of Engineers for: [X] Section 404 ☐ Section 10 permit
- ☐ Coast Guard for: ☐ General Bridge Act Permit ☐ Private Aids to Navigation (for non-bridge projects)
- ☐ For Department of Transportation projects only: This project will be designed to meet conditions of the most current Ecology/Department of Transportation Water Quality Implementing Agreement

***SECTION A - Use for all permits covered by this application. Be sure to ALSO complete Section C (Signature Block) for all permit applications.***

1. APPLICANT
**City of Seattle Department of Parks and Recreation**
**Attn.: Jon Jainga, Parks Planning and Development Project Manager**

MAILING ADDRESS
**800 Maynard Avenue S, 3rd floor, Seattle, Washington 98134-1336**

| WORK PHONE | E-MAIL ADDRESS | HOME PHONE | FAX # |
|---|---|---|---|
| (206) 684-7054 | jon.jainga@Seattle.Gov | | (206) 233-3949 |

***If an agent is acting for the applicant during the permit process, complete #2. Be sure agent signs Section C (Signature Block) for all permit applications***

2. AUTHORIZED AGENT
**Sheldon & Associates, Inc. Attn.: Dyanne Sheldon**

MAILING ADDRESS
**5031 University Way NE, #204, Seattle, Washington 98105**

| WORK PHONE | E-MAIL ADDRESS | HOME PHONE | FAX # |
|---|---|---|---|
| (206) 522-1214 ext. 14 | dyanne@bogstomper.com | | 206-522-3507 |

3. Relationship of applicant to property: [X] OWNER ☐ PURCHASER ☐ LESSEE ☐ consultant

4. Name, address and phone number of property owner(s) if other than applicant:
**There are no other property owners.**

5. Location (street address, including city, county and zip code, where proposed activity exists or will occur)
**7400 Sandpoint Way NE, Seattle, King County, Washington 98115**
**Local government with jurisdiction (city or county)** City of Seattle

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Waterbody you are working in **Wetlands adjacent to Lake Washington**<br>Is this waterbody on the 303(d) List** YES ☐ NO ☒<br>If **YES**, what parameter(s)?<br>**For 303d List, http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/303d/index.html | | | | | Tributary of **Lake Washington** | WRIA # **08** |
| | | | | | Shoreline designation | |
| | | | | | Zoning designation **SF 7200** | |
| ¼ Section | Section **2** | Township **25N** | Range **4E** | Government Lot | DNR stream type if known | |
| Latitude and Longitude: **47.68180 and 122.25655** | | | | | Tax Parcel Number **022504-9001** | |

ECY 070-15 (Rev. 11/04) JARPA Contact the State of Washington Office of Regulatory Assistance for latest version or call 360/407-7037 or 800/917-0043

6. Describe the current use of the property, and structures existing on the property. Have you completed any portion of the proposed activity on this property? ☐ YES ☒ NO
For any portion of the proposed activity already completed on this property, indicate month and year of completion.

***Magnuson Park is a 350-acre park managed by the City of Seattle Department of Parks and Recreation. It is located on a peninsula surrounded by Lake Washington. This park contains historic Naval Air Station structures, athletic fields, a dog off-leash area, playground, parking lots, boat launch, paths, and open habitat areas. A Master Plan for the Park was completed in 2001, and construction of a grassed sports field, Phase I, was completed in fall, 2005. This permit is for Phase 2, an area that currently contains large structures from the Naval Air Station, parking lots, old taxiways (roads), open habitats including wetlands, buried utility infrastructure from the Naval Air Station, and grassed athletic fields. It is proposed to construct 4 athletic fields, the sub-base for a 5th field, and enhance and create upland and wetland habitats within the Phase 2 area.***

Is the property agricultural land? ☐ YES ☒ NO Are you a USDA program participant? ☐ YES ☒ NO

7a. Describe the proposed work that needs aquatic permits: Complete plans and specifications should be provided for all work waterward of the ordinary high water mark or line, including types of equipment to be used. If applying for a shoreline permit, describe all work within and beyond 200 feet of the ordinary high water mark. If you have provided attached materials to describe your project, you still must summarize the proposed work here. Attach a separate sheet if additional space is needed.

***The Phase 2 proposed action involves: constructing 4 athletic fields and the sub-grade for 1 future field on the western portion of the project area; re-alignment of the cross-park trail and creation of new walking trails; enhancement of upland and wetland habitats, and creation of new wetland habitats. Development of the fields and trails will result in the filling of 6.0 acres of wetland (wetlands were delineated in summer, 2005; the delineation was approved by COE/Ecology/Seattle wetland staff in Nov., 2005. Reference COE file #200500087 for a copy of the wetland delineation report).***

***No work will be conducted below OHWM or within 200 feet of OHW of any Shoreline of the State, or tributary there-to. The wetlands on-site were determined to be within COE jurisdiction by Suzanne Skadowski and T.J. Stetz in 2003.***

***The report, Compensation Plan for Magnuson Park, Phase 2 (2006), contains a thorough summary of the proposed actions, the impacts, mitigating measures to avoid and minimize impacts to wetlands and upland habitats, and a detailed description of the proposed compensatory mitigation. The Biological Evaluation (2006) completed for this project contains a thorough review of the likely implications of the project to listed Federal and State species. Both reports will be provided as supplements to this JARPA application. Below is provided a very brief synopsis of that information. Provided with this JARPA and the BE is a set of half-sized drawings that illustrate the following:***

- ***Site vicinity, Master Plan overview, and Phase 2 limits***
- ***Wetland delineation completed and approved in 2005***
- ***Proposed project overview of athletic fields and habitat configurations***
- ***Detailed sheets with proposed grading for fields, trails, and wetland impacts***
- ***Detailed sheets with proposed plant communities and future elevations***

***It is proposed to construct 4 athletic fields and the sub-grade for one additional field for this project. All the fields for this project will be constructed by filling to raise the fields above existing grades in order to provide positive drainage for the fields and to provide water to the down-gradient wetland***

habitats. The area of the playing surface of the field (including 'run-out' zones) and also an estimate of the area of the field footprint (i.e., the extent of filling necessary to create the sub-base on which the playing surface is laid out and associated adjacent improvements) is used. Fields are proposed to be surfaced with natural grass or artificial turf. Some of the fields are proposed to be lit from dusk till 10:00 pm, Monday through Saturday, when the fields are reserved for athletic activities. Lit fields have been placed onsite to minimize impacts to existing low-income housing. All fields are proposed to be surrounded by upland forest (over time) to screen the fields, human use, and eventually the light standards, from adjacent habitats. Lighting technology is intended to be either shielded conventional lighting or full cut-off lighting based on field lighting requirements and a balanced approach to minimizing spill light, glare and sky glow.

Decades of public use of the Park has resulted in a myriad network of informal dirt paths throughout the interior portions of the Park in addition to the formal trail system. Phase 2 will formalize a perimeter trail that links north to south across the interior portion of the site. The trail will be handicap accessible and will provide for overlooks into the interior of the improved habitat zones. Overlooks will be provided on the west side of the habitat zone on a large created berm, and to the Promontory Point wetlands and marshes to the north, with a trail and dead-end node entering from the north.

In Phase 2 configuration of the fields and trails has been revised multiple times to avoid and minimize direct and indirect impacts to wetlands. Direct impacts will fill or severely degrade the hydrology on 6 acres of wetland. It is proposed to create 10.05 acres of new wetland, enhance 4 acres of existing wetland, and enhance nearly 10 acres of upland forest habitat by supplemental planting of native species.

**PREPARATION OF DRAWINGS:** See sample drawings and guidance for completing the drawings. ***ONE SET OF ORIGINAL OR GOOD QUALITY REPRODUCIBLE DRAWINGS MUST BE ATTACHED.*** NOTE: Applicants are encouraged to submit photographs of the project site, but these DO NOT substitute for drawings. ***THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS AND COAST GUARD REQUIRE DRAWINGS ON 8-1/2 X 11 INCH SHEETS. LARGER DRAWINGS MAY BE REQUIRED BY OTHER AGENCIES.***

7b. Describe the purpose of the proposed work and why you want or need to perform it at the site. Please explain any specific needs that have influenced the design.

The City of Seattle Parks and Recreation Department (Seattle DPD) began a very extensive public process in the early-1990's to gather public input on future uses of the former Sand Point Naval Air Station. Early results identified improvement to habitats as a priority; subsequent public processes added the element of creating a variety of athletic fields to the Master Plan. The resulting Sand Point Master Plan identified creation of 11 lighted synthetic and grass turf athletic fields, a natural grass sports meadow, and improvement to wetland and upland habitats. Modifications to the proposed design parameters within the Master Plan were made through various political and environmental review processes during which the total number of fields in the master plan was reduced. The final master plan, as approved by the City Council on June 14th 2004 (Council Bill # 114827) contained the sports meadow and up to 9 athletic fields (up to 7 of which may be lighted).

The Master Plan identified multiple phases of work to complete all elements of the plan. Phase 1 was the natural grass sports meadow, which was constructed in 2004-05, completed in fall of '05 to be opened to use in 06. Phase 2 of the Master Plan is designed to be a "stand alone" action within the park. The proposed Phase II development will occur on approximately 95-acres of Magnuson Park. The proposed action involves creating athletic fields, and creating and enhancing wetland and upland habitats. Phase 2 is currently funded including significant funds from the Seattle Pro-Parks Levy with some additional funding sources. At this point in time, no future public funding for subsequent phases of the Park Master Plan have been identified. Therefore, the actions and compensation proposed within this report are considered as one separate and complete project because there is no public funding identified for any future phases of the Master Plan.

A complete SEPA analysis and review process was undertaken for the Master Plan for the Park. The SEPA determination was appealed, as was the issue of not conducting an Alternatives Analysis for the Park. It was determined by the City Hearings Examiner and in an appeal to Superior Court, that Seattle Dept. of Parks and Recreation (DPR) had conducted a thorough analysis of likely impacts of the project,

and that no Alternative Analysis for placement of a suite of athletic fields in other Park locations in the City was warranted. Seattle DPR determined through a lengthy public process that use of the surplused Naval Air Station for multiple uses was the publics' priority. The public process identified athletic field use at Magnuson Park as one of its top priorities; DPR proceeded to then design such use with a minimum of adverse impacts to wetland and existing upland habitats.

Existing infrastructure on the site, remaining from the Naval Air Station, will be used for parking and roads, precluding the necessity of new construction of impervious surfaces. Existing large derelict structures (e.g., the Commissary) will be demolished and parts of the footprint area restored to wetland in the location of the historic Mud Lake.

7c. Describe the potential impacts to characteristic uses of the water body. These uses may include fish and aquatic life, water quality, water supply, recreation and aesthetics. Identify proposed actions to avoid, minimize, and mitigate detrimental impacts and provide proper protection of fish and aquatic life. Identify which guidance documents you have used. Attach a separate sheet if additional space is needed.

Phase II actions in the Park will directly impact 6 acres of wetlands through filling or severe changes to wetland hydroperiod. The wetlands to be impacted are Category III (Ecology) wetlands with one Category IV. They are generally closed depressions, formed on the compacted sub-soil of the former airfield (e.g. on soils left after airfield demolition). All woody vegetation on the site has established since the 1972 decommissioning of the airfield. The wetlands or portions there-of, to be impacted are generally PEM wet grasslands with some thickets of native (spirea) and non-native (Himalayan blackberry and hawthorne) shrubs. To the extent feasible, stands of native black cottonwood trees and saplings have been avoided. In existing conditions sheet flow and surface run-off from internal roads and parking lots drain into remnant stormwater conveyance structures and discharge untreated into Lake Washington.

Functional assessments, using the Western Washington functional assessment method (Ecology) have been conducted and the results are provided in the Compensation Plan. The flat, closed outlet, grassed wetlands provide good water quality improvement for sheet flow and precipitation; they provide low to moderate habitat for wildlife (some amphibian breeding is documented to occur in one wetland on site), and they provide no groundwater recharge (soils are nearly impenetrable and the proximity to Lake Washington precludes it).

It is proposed to remove over 12 acres of existing pavement and impervious surfaces within the Phase 2 project area. It is also proposed to daylight an existing storm drain that crosses the site, and after pre-treating the stormwater, run it through nearly 1,000 feet of created or enhanced wetland prior to discharging it to Lake Washington. In addition, sheet flow from 65$^{th}$ Street is currently collected in a storm drain system and discharged untreated to the Lake. It is proposed to be collected, pre-treated, and then input into hundreds of feet of vegetated created and enhanced wetland prior to discharge to the Lake. These actions will result in an improvement over existing conditions for water quality from the site.

Wildlife habitat functions will be greatly increased on the site by increased duration and depths of many wetland hydroperiods; increased plant richness by installation of a wide variety of native emergent, shrub, and sapling tree species; increase in physical structural complexity by placement of large woody debris (e.g., black cottonwood logs), brush piles throughout the habitat zones and placement of rock pile for reptiles and prey refuge throughout the site; and decrease in non-native invasive vegetation presence throughout the project area. An increase in wetland community types, improvement in upland habitat species richness and physical complexity will improve wildlife function on the site over time as forests develop in zones currently dominated by native and non-native grasses.

Impacts from operation of Phase 2 (e.g., the lighted athletic fields) are not known. Research conducted during preparation of the EIS documents did not allow a conclusion of impact (or of no impact) based on the lack of research on lighting impacts from *athletic fields*. Available research on lighting/wildlife

*interactions is based on street lights (on all night long), lit towers (flashing lights), and/or tall buildings (glassed structures that involve collisions). The EIS determined that no impact effect determination could be made based on the current data.*

*Efforts to avoid wetland impacts involved concentrating the athletic fields on higher ground within the western half of the site. Wherever possible, the athletic fields and paved surfaces were placed on existing upland areas and impacts to forest stands were avoided. Field configurations were shifted and/or rotated based on field assessments after the delineation to avoid direct impacts to wetlands or to save particular stands of trees that the citizens' advisory committee had identified as priority for saving.*

*The proposed action will impact 6.00 acres of wetlands due to filling and changes in hydrology, (see Table 1).*

*Table 1. Summary of wetland impacts from Magnuson Park Phase 2 development.*

| Wetland | Square foot | Acre | Type of Impact |
|---|---|---|---|
| B1 | 49,020 | 1.13 | Filling for baseball field and stormwater conveyance feature |
| B2 | 14,200 | 0.33 | Filling for baseball field |
| B3 | 20,952 | 0.48 | Filling for baseball field |
| B4 | 1,008 | 0.02 | Filling for baseball field |
| C1 | 14,972 | 0.34 | Filling for baseball field |
| D1 | 2,649 | 0.06 | Filling for rugby field |
| E1 | 98,151 | 2.25 | Filling for soccer, rugby, and baseball fields |
| E2 | 18,975 | 0.44 | Filling for rugby field |
| M2 | 5,301 | 0.12 | Filling for viewing platform |
| M5 | 2,546 | 0.06 | Filling for stormwater conveyance feature |
| M6 | 6,966 | 0.16 | Filling for stormwater conveyance feature |
| Ditch 4B | 1,399 | 0.03 | Filling for stormwater conveyance feature |
| Sub Total | 236,139 | 5.42 | |
| | | | |
| Polygon B | 6,098 | 0.14 | Filling for baseball fields based on statistical approach* |
| Polygon E | 14,157 | 0.33 | Filling for athletic fields based on statistical approach* |
| Polygon M | 4,966 | 0.11 | Filling for stormwater conveyance based on statistical approach* |
| Total | 261,360 | 6.00 | |

* see the Magnuson Wetland Delineation Report (2005) for an explanation of the "statistical approach"

*To compensate for unavoidable impacts wetlands will be created and/or enhanced. Approximately 4 acres of wetland habitat will be enhanced by changing hydroperiods for longer duration and deeper inundation, by invasive species removal, and by increasing species richness through supplemental planting of native species. Approximately 10.05 acres of wetland habitat will be created including open-water, aquatic bed, emergent, scrub/shrub, and forested classes.*
*See the two summary tables below:*

| Wetland | Enhancement | |
|---|---|---|
| | Square foot | Acres |
| B1 | 21,744 | 0.50 |
| B4 | 10,686 | 0.25 |
| E1 | 50,560 | 1.16 |
| E2 | 11,591 | 0.27 |
| M1 | 14,336 | 0.33 |
| M2 | 13,469 | 0.31 |
| M5 | 14,744 | 0.34 |
| M6 | 34,678 | 0.80 |
| **Total** | 174,753 | 4.01 |

| Creation | | |
|---|---|---|
| | Square foot | Acres |
| Poly B | 47,977 | 1.10 |
| | 43,064 | 0.99 |
| Poly E | 9,191 | 0.21 |
| | 2,247 | 0.05 |
| Poly M | 13,469 | 0.31 |
| | 294,576 | 6.76 |
| | 15,930 | 0.37 |
| | 11,129 | 0.26 |
| **Total** | 437,583 | 10.05 |

**It is proposed to utilize Ecology's replacement ratios for illustrating the quantified ratio of compensation. The Compensation Report provides a thorough discussion of the anticipated changes in functions that will be provided in proposed conditions compared to existing conditions on the site. The compensation ratio for Enhancement and Creation summaries are provided below:**

| Wetland | Enhancement | Area of Compensation Provided | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Acres | Ratio | Acres |
| | | | |
| B1 | 0.50 | 4:1 | 0.12 |
| B4 | 0.25 | 4:1 | 0.06 |
| E1 | 1.16 | 4:1 | 0.29 |
| E2 | 0.27 | 4:1 | 0.07 |
| M1 | 0.33 | 4:1 | 0.08 |
| M2 | 0.31 | 4:1 | 0.08 |
| M5 | 0.34 | 4:1 | 0.08 |
| M6 | 0.80 | 4:1 | 0.20 |
| **Total** | 4.01 | | 1.00 |

| | Creation | Area of Compensation Provided | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Acres | Ratio | Acres |
| | | | |
| Polygon B | 1.10 | 2:1 | 0.55 |
| | 0.99 | 2:1 | 0.49 |
| Polygon E | 0.21 | 2:1 | 0.11 |
| | 0.05 | 2:1 | 0.03 |
| Polygon M | 0.31 | 2:1 | 0.15 |
| | 6.76 | 2:1 | 3.38 |
| | 0.37 | 2:1 | 0.18 |
| | 0.26 | 2:1 | 0.13 |
| **Total** | 10.05 | | 5.02 |

7d. For in water construction work, will your project be in compliance with the State of Washington water quality standards for turbidity WAC 173.201A-110? [X] YES [ ] NO (See USEFUL DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS)

8. Will the project be constructed in stages? YES [ ] NO [X]

Proposed starting date: **June, 2006**

Estimated duration of activity: **September, 2007**

9. Check if any temporary or permanent structures will be placed:

[ ] Waterward of the ordinary high water mark or line for fresh or tidal waters AND/OR

[ ] Waterward of the mean higher high water for tidal waters?

10. Will fill material (rock, fill, bulkhead, or other material) be placed: **NO**

[ ] Waterward of the ordinary high water mark or line for fresh waters?

If YES, VOLUME (cubic yards) / AREA (acres)

[ ] Waterward of the mean higher high water for tidal waters?

If YES, VOLUME (cubic yards) / AREA (acres)

11. Will material be placed in wetlands? [X] YES [ ] NO

If **YES**:

A. Impacted area in acres: **6.00**

B. Has a delineation been completed? **If YES**, please submit with application. [X] YES [ ] NO

C. Has a wetland report been prepared? **If YES**, please submit with application [X] YES [ ] NO

**Compensation Report for Magnuson Park will be submitted by January 27, 2006.**

D. Type and composition of fill material (e.g., sand, etc.) **Seattle DPR requires all fill material to be tested for toxics prior to contractor acceptance. Fill will be appropriate dirt, sand, etc. as necessary for field base material.**

E. Material source: **Various to be identified at time of contract negotiations with public bidders.**

F. List all soil series (type of soil) located at the project site, and indicate if they are on the county's list of hydric soils. Soils information can be obtained from the natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS).

**This area was not mapped by the NRCS because of its use as the Naval Air Station; it would be mapped as the Urban soil series and it consists of compacted fill, concrete rubble, and sandy clay.**

G. WILL PROPOSED ACTIVITY CAUSE FLOODING OR DRAINING OF WETLANDS? [X] YES [ ] NO

**Impacts will be caused primarily by direct filling, very minor portions by change in hydroperiod.**

NOTE: If your project will impact greater than ½ of an acre of wetland, submit a mitigation plan to the Corps and Ecology for approval along with the JARPA form.

NOTE: A 401 water quality certification will be required from Ecology in addition to an approved mitigation plan if your project impacts wetlands that are: a) greater than ½ acre in size, or b) tidal wetlands or wetlands adjacent to tidal water. Please submit the JARPA form and mitigation plan to Ecology for an individual 401 certification if a) or b) applies.

12. Stormwater Compliance for Nationwide Permits Only: This project is (or will be) designed to meet ecology's most current stormwater manual, or an Ecology approved local stormwater manual. [X] YES [ ] NO

If **YES** – Which manual will your project be designed to meet? **2005 Ecology Stormwater Manual**

If **NO** – For clean water act Section 401 and 404 permits only – Please submit to Ecology for approval, along with this JARPA application, documentation that demonstrates the stormwater runoff from your project or activity will comply with the water quality standards, WAC 173.201(A)

13. Will excavation or dredging be required in water or wetlands? [X] YES [ ] NO

If **YES**:

A. Volume: **9,000** (cubic yards) /area **1.7 acres of cut** (acre)

B. Composition of material to be removed: **Sandy clay and concrete rubble**

C. Disposal site for excavated material: **Materials graded from the site that are appropriate for using as sub-base for proposed athletic fields will be used for that purpose. All other materials will be removed and disposed of in a legally established disposal site, per contractual requirements of DPR.**

D. Method of dredging: **Typical heavy grading equipment. No drag lines or other means.**

14. Has the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) been completed [X] YES [ ] NO

SEPA Lead Agency: **City of Seattle Department of Parks and Recreation**

SEPA Decision: DNS, MDNS, EIS, Adoption, Exemption Decision Date (end of comment period) **EIS approved in 2003**

SUBMIT A COPY OF YOUR SEPA DECISION LETTER TO WDFW AS REQUIRED FOR A COMPLETE APPLICATION

15. List other Applications, approvals or certifications from other federal, state or local agencies for any structures, construction discharges or other activities described in the application (i.e. preliminary plat approval, health district approval, building permit, SEPA review, federal energy regulatory commission license (FERC), Forest practices application, etc.). Also, indicate whether work has been completed and indicate all existing work on drawings. NOTE: For use with Corps Nationwide Permits, identify whether your project has or will need an NPDES permit for discharging wastewater and/or stormwater.

| TYPE OF APPROVAL | ISSUING AGENCY | IDENTIFICATION NO. | DATE OF APPLICATION | DATE APPROVED | COMPLETED? |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Master Use Permit (MUP) | City of Seattle | 2500818 | 1/25/06 | | |
| Building Permit | City of Seattle | | | | |
| Demolition Permit | City of Seattle | | | | |
| Grading and Drainage Permit | City of Seattle | | | | |
| Construction Permit | City of Seattle | | | | |

16. Has any agency denied approval for the activity you're applying for or for any activity directly related to the activity described herein?

[ ] YES [X] NO

If **YES**, explain:

## *SECTION B - Use for Shoreline and Corps of Engineers permits only:*

17a. Total cost of project. This means the fair market value of the project, including materials, labor, machine rentals, etc.

**$9.5 million dollars for construction**

17b. If a project or any portion of a project receives funding from a federal agency, that agency is responsible for ESA consultation. Please indicate if you will receive federal funds and what federal agency is providing those funds. See instructions for information on ESA.*

FEDERAL FUNDING ☐ YES ☒ NO If **YES**, please list the federal agency.

18. Local government with jurisdiction: **City of Seattle**

19. For Corps, Coast Guard and DNR permits, provide names, addresses and telephone numbers of adjoining property owners, lessees, etc. ***Please note:*** *Shoreline Management Compliance may require additional notice – consult your local government.*

| NAME | ADDRESS | PHONE NUMBER |
|---|---|---|
| | **See attached list (4 pages)** | |
| | | |

***SECTION C - This section MUST be completed for any permit covered by this application***

20. Application is hereby made for a permit or permits to authorize the activities described herein. I certify that I am familiar with the information contained in this application, and that to the best of my knowledge and belief, such information is true, complete, and accurate. I further certify that I possess the authority to undertake the proposed activities. I hereby grant to the agencies to which this application is made, the right to enter the above-described location to inspect the proposed, in-progress or completed work. I agree to start work **ONLY** after all necessary permits have been received.

| | |
|---|---|
| SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT | DATE |
| SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED AGENT | DATE |

I HEREBY DESIGNATE_____TO ACT AS MY AGENT IN MATTERS RELATED TO THIS APPLICATION FOR PERMIT(S). I UNDERSTAND THAT IF A FEDERAL PERMIT IS ISSUED, I MUST SIGN THE PERMIT.

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT DATE

SIGNATURE OF LANDOWNER (EXCEPT PUBLIC ENTITY LANDOWNERS, E.G. DNR)

***THIS APPLICATION MUST BE SIGNED BY THE APPLICANT AND THE AGENT, IF AN AUTHORIZED AGENT IS DESIGNATED.***

18 U.S.C §1001 provides that: Whoever, in any manner within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States knowingly falsifies, conceals, or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact or makes any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or representations or makes or uses any false writing or document knowing same to contain any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or entry, shall be fined not more than $10,000 or imprisoned not more than 5 years or both.

**COMPLETED BY LOCAL OFFICIAL**

A. Nature of the existing shoreline. (Describe type of shoreline, such as marine, stream, lake, lagoon, marsh, bog, swamp, flood plain, floodway, delta; type of beach, such as accretion, erosion, high bank, low bank, or dike; material such as sand, gravel, mud, clay, rock, riprap; and extent and type of bulkheading, if any)

B. In the event that any of the proposed buildings or structures will exceed a height of thirty-five feet above the average grade level, indicate the approximate location of and number of residential units, existing and potential, that will have an obstructed view:

C. If the application involves a conditional use or variance, set forth in full that portion of the master program which provides that the proposed use may be a conditional use, or, in the case of a variance, from which the variance is being sought: